

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,668	08/16/2001	Andrew Michael Pelletier	GEMS:00551/YOD 31-PN-62	3806
7	590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Patrick S. Yoder			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
Fletcher, Yoder	r & Van Someren			
P.O. Box 692289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77269-2289			3762	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	q
Advisory Action	09/931,668	PELLETIER ET AL.	· /
Autisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark W Bockelman	3762	/
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			th in
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>	ecause:	·	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	. 1
10. Other:		MARK BOCKELN	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There are no convincing arguments filed. The terms "historical" and "real time" and the use of a browser to control the mode of transmission as applied in the rejection of claims 16 and 28 by the examiner's are consistent with the limited discussion found on pages 14-15 of applicant's specification. Additionally when the "playback option" as well as the ability to retrieve complete archived records afforded by Gat (column 7 lines 9-35) would provide for the transmission of historical data versus realtime ((column 6 line 25). Either interpretation of the references certainly meet applicant's claim language on its face value. With regard to claim 23, the examiner considered the Menu 36 of Gat to be a demonstration of the user selectable command devices that permits various operations of the device. The reference mentions zooming and "playback" which would of course require such selectable buttons or hyperlinks. The examiner again emphasizes that applicant's have provided very little description concerning the these features.